### Proposed To-Code Pilot



CPUC Workshop – April 28, 2015



## SDG&E will work with the other IOUs to modify its pilot to conform to the proposed SW experiment



While the specifics of a revised design need to be determined, SDG&E will:

- Work with the Energy Division, its consultants, and the other IOUs to finalize design specifics and sample sizing to provide statistically significant results from the state-wide effort
- Create two treatment groups rather than one
- Make other design modifications as determined to be necessary for a meaningful study

### Hypothesis – Providing incentives for measures required by trigger code will increase savings



### The specific elements to be tested are:

- Participation rate of customers that proceed with projects will increase
- Participants will include a larger number of lighting measures in their projects
  - Includes the number of lighting fixtures as well as controls
- There will be a spillover effect that results in the average number of all types of measures increasing as well as the total savings attributable to an average project

#### The SW modifications will further:

 Determine the difference in response to an enhanced incentive alone vs. incentives based on to-code savings

### SDG&E's To-Code Pilot will target small nonresidential customer using Direct Install



### SDG&E's Direct Install approach offers a number of advantages:

- Smaller, harder-to-reach, customers will benefit from the To-Code approach
- One contactor will be used for both the control and test groups and thus extraneous influences can be reduced
- Control offered by the direct install approach also provides for easier and more consistent data gathering
- The implementation is different than the approach proposed by the other IOUs and thus provides a broader test for the To-Code concept without diminishing the advantages of a coordinated approach and sampling

## SDG&E will work with the ED to develop specific control and test groups



The following table summarize the expected design characteristics of each group

Design Attribute	Control Group	Treatment Group 1	Treatment Group 2
Incentive for lighting only	Standard	Enhanced equal to Group 2 Lighting & Controls	Standard + Extra based on To-Code
Incentives for lighting and controls	Standard (None for controls)	Enhanced equal to Group 2 Lighting & Controls	Standard + Extra based on To-Code for both lighting and controls
Incentive for non- lighting measures	Standard	Standard	Standard
Marketing	Standard	Standard, but with Group 1 incentives highlighted	Standard, but with Group 2 incentives highlighted

# The pilot will test if the typical lighting project changes in the expected manner



Current Typical Project	Typical Group 1 Project	Typical Group 2 Project
39 light fixtures	80 light fixtures	100 light fixtures
	?	
No lighting controls	Lighting controls	Lighting controls
	?	
No DR participation	DR participation	DR participation
Total energy savings	Total energy savings	Total energy savings

Values are for illustrative purposes only

## The pilot will also determine if the total number of projects and measures increases



<u>Current Program Results</u>	Possible Group 1 Results	Possible Group 2 Results
Number of lighting measures	Number of lighting measures	Number of lighting measures
Number of non-lighting measures	Number of non-lighting measures	Number of non-lighting measures
Average "Comprehensiveness"	Average "Comprehensiveness"	Average "Comprehensiveness"
Total energy savings	Total energy savings	Total energy savings