

Part I:

Pre-Application Reports Reporting:

<p>a) Total since Rule 21 Revision in September 2012</p> <p>470</p>	<p>b) Total for 2nd Quarter 2020:</p> <p>18</p>
---	--

- i. Number requested: 470
- ii. Number issued: 458
- iii. Number currently in process: 12
- iv. Number withdrawn (if any): 5

Rule 21 Fast Track Reporting:

<p>a) Rule 21 Fast Track applications received since 9/13/2012</p> <p>1274</p>	<p>b) Rule 21 Fast Track applications for 2Q</p> <p>53</p>
---	---

Initial Review

- a. Number of Fast Track Applications received for **all** types of generating facilities:

1274	53¹
From Rule 21 Reform Through 2Q	2Q 2020
- b. Number of Fast Track applications received for exporting generating facilities only (excluding Net Energy Metering and non-export):

227	3²
From Rule 21 Reform to Through 2Q	2Q 2020

¹ 53 - Of the 53 incremental projects, two (2) are from the previous reporting quarter. Both were received at the end of the previous reporting quarter (3/30/2020) and not yet reflected in the database.

² 3 - Of the 3 incremental projects, two (2) are from the previous reporting quarter. Both were received at the end of the previous reporting quarter (3/30/2020) and not yet reflected in the database.

- c. Number of Fast Track applications for exporting generating facilities that successfully passed Initial Review, where success is defined by passing all Initial Review screens:

28 **2**
 From Rule 21 Reform Through 2Q 2Q 2020

- d. Number of Fast Track Applications for exporting generating facilities currently being evaluated in Initial Review.

0
 2Q 2020

- e. Number of Fast Track applications for exporting generating facilities that failed Initial Review:
 i. If the total set out in B does not equal the totals set out in C + E, please explain why:

148 **0**
 From Rule 21 Reform Through 2Q 2Q 2020

For the period from 9/13/2012 to 6/30/2020: From the 227 Exporting Fast Track requests received since 9/13/2012, 1 is under application review, 28 passed the Initial Review screens, 50 projects withdrew prior to completing the Initial Review or were not deemed eligible (due to size), 148 failed the Initial Review screens.

- f. Number of Fast Track Applications for exporting generating facilities for which a Results Meeting following Initial Review has taken place:

70 **0**
 From Rule 21 Reform to 2Q 2Q 2020

- g. Please indicate the top three most frequently failed Initial Review screens³ in descending order.
1. Screen M (Aggregate generation 15% larger than line section peak load)
 2. Screen N (Penetration Test)
 3. Screen F (Short Circuit Current Contribution)
- h. If possible, please write three recommendations describing how an interconnection customer might apply for Fast Track in a way that would avoid failing the top three most frequently failed screens:
1. Use SCE’s Interconnection maps and locate projects in green zones and in accordance with the available capacity as identified in the green zone;
 2. Submit a Pre-Application Report Request for the proposed generator project;
 3. Interconnect via non-export with certified technology.

³ “Initial Review” screens include screens reviewed as part of the Rule 21 Supplemental Review process.

- p. If possible, please indicate 2 recommendations describing how a developer might request a fast track interconnection that would avoid failing the two most frequently failed supplemental review screens.

Please refer to answer provided for Part (h).

- q. Number of Fast Track projects that signed GIAs:

42	0⁷
From Rule 21 Through 2Q	2Q 2020

Ombudsman Role and Dispute Resolution Reporting:

- a. Number of phone calls that the Ombudsman has received from September 2012 to date (calls related to Rule 21 issues that were within the Ombudsman’s responsibilities or function):

115	4
From Rule 21 Through 2Q	2Q 2020

- b. Number of emails the Ombudsman has received from September 2012 to date:

213	7
From Rule 21 Through 2Q	2Q 2020

- c. Number of cases that the Ombudsman took an active role in handling: (“active role” means the Ombudsman sought out information from another source to provide that information to an interconnection customer or other third party)

107	8
From Rule 21 Through 2Q	2Q 2020

- d. Number of disputes initiated in writing by a Party that invokes Rule 21, Section K.2 Dispute Resolution Procedures (DRP).

20	2
From Rule 21 Through 2Q	2Q 2020

⁷ Previous quarter counts included an amendment to an existing project which should not have been included in this count.

e. Number of disputes resolved within 45 calendar days of the original notice.

10	0
From Rule 21 Reform Through 2Q	2Q 2020

f. Number of disputes where an additional 45 days was sought for resolution (second part of original question e).

4	0
From Rule 21 Reform Through 2Q	2Q 2020

g. Number of disputes mediated by a member of the CPUC’s ALJ Division:

2	0
From Rule 21 Reform Through 2Q	2Q 2020

h. Number of disputes mediated by an outside third-party mediator:

0	0
From Rule 21 Reform Through 2Q	2Q 2020

i. Number of disputes in which a Formal Complaint has been filed at the CPUC and served on the IOU:

2	0
From Rule 21 Reform Through 2Q	2Q 2020

Accounting of Exemptions from Rule 21 Interconnection Fees, Including the Value of Those Exemptions:

In accordance with the Public Utilities Code Section 2827 and D.02-03-057, NEM customer generators are exempt from interconnection application fees, supplemental review fees, costs for distribution upgrades and standby charges. Reporting is provided in accordance with Commission decisions representing socialized NEM costs.

Please refer to reporting made in accordance with 12-11-005 for NEM related fees.